Peta International Journal of Social Science and Humanity
www.PIJSSh.peta-research.com

Peta Research Publication

Online ISSN 2830-540X

Built Environment-related Sensing Procedure for the Asset Management Expenses Reduction

Liu Yuanyuan[1], Syaifuddin[2,*], Hairoladenan Kasim[3], Abbas M. Al-Ghaili[4]

[1]PhD in Management, Universitas Prima Indonesia
[2]Faculty of Management, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Email: (syaifuddin@unprimdn.ac.id)
[3]College of Computing and Informatics (CCI), Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN), 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia
[4]Institute of Informatics and Computing in Energy (IICE), Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN), Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

It is possible that sense inside built environment might help save money on asset management while also prolonging the lifespan of assets. Effects are often assessed at a distance from their origins in the built environment. There are significant systematic errors in the model's correlation values as a consequence of the use of approximations derived from a variety of sources, such as boundary layer, geometric simplifications, and numerical assumptions. Even when used during the design phase prior to construction, conservative behavior models sometimes fail to explain measurements of actual behavior. For asset management in a constructed setting, sensor data interpretation has a unique set of challenges. Research on multi model selection was originally prompted by research into model-based diagnostics, but developed into a technique for statistical model falsification. Parallel investigations produced measuring system design methodologies throughout the project. Bayesian model updating has recently been proved to be less exact and accurate in the absence of approximation models than standard applied Bayesian techniques. Details of full-scale case studies used to build model falsification are also briefly provided here. When it comes to data interpretation, the model-falsification technique gives engineers a simple-to-understand tool that fits right in with the built environment itself.

Keywords: Asset Management, Management Expenses Reduction, Built Environment Asset Management.

Received on 08 July 2022, accepted on 24 July 2022, Published on 20 Sep 2022

1. Introduction

Human capital is becoming more important in determining a nation's prosperity (Aliu & Aigbavboa, 2021). High achievers frequently want to live in regions where they may enjoy a high standard of living, despite the fact that they may forego financial benefits in the pursuit of their dreams (Li et al., 2019). For example, many of the world's greatest colleges are situated in desirable locations (Goldin, 2016).

A good standard of living is based on various interrelated elements. A large built environment serves as a foundation for a wide range of aspects. Deterioration over time is a fact of life when it comes to built-environment assets like roads, bridges and buildings. As a result, good performance and, therefore, a higher standard of living are both enhanced by effective management of aging assets (Hilorme et al., 2020).

When a system's performance is in question, systematic replacement is a straightforward method to managing aging infrastructure assets. However, it is not feasible, cost-effective, or convenient to replace all of the outdated infrastructure (e.g., bridge construction creates traffic jams), and it is also not safe (e.g., traffic accidents cause road accidents) to do so (e.g., in the USA). The environmental costs of replacing will be more properly estimated when construction pricing patterns shift toward the inclusion of elements other than capital cost. The majority of the time, engineers will resort to replacement as a last resort.

Future infrastructure assets that can survive forever owing to regular inspections of the infrastructure are appealing and accessible now (Nathaniel et al., 2021). This can only be done with a thorough understanding of infrastructure behavior. To enable activities like the use of quantitative techniques to prioritize and evaluate retrofit designs when elements like loads and the intended purpose of the retrofit change, such information is necessary. In the end, decision makers require the greatest information feasible when weighing replacement versus strengthening, extending, and enhancing. These models must be founded on correct structural mechanics and provide reliable predictions, even when extrapolated to find out, for example, the influence of retrofit solutions (Ahmed et al., 2020).

Fortunately, infrastructure has a lot of spare capacity. As a result of the high stakes and inherent safety of behavior models in the design process, these models are often used by the industry. The fact that we don't know how much reserve capacity we have is a major problem. A structure's concealed reserve capacity may be discovered with the use of suitable sensing and impartial data interpretation after it has been constructed. Because of this, sensing may lead to cost savings and more flexibility in the selection of optimal practices for prolonging service life (Lanau et al., 2019).

This leads in a lack of support for asset management, which may be detrimental (Çimen, 2021). The constructed environment presents a distinct set of sensing challenges that are explored in this work. Data interpretation techniques that have been perfected over almost two decades are examined. The comparisons with other approaches presently offered are included in this study; however, no effort is made to give a thorough evaluation of all data analysis studies in the field of asset management. It is outlined at the conclusion of this article how the method has been used in real-world contexts.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is dedicated for The Situational Context for the Interpretation of Sensor Data within a Built Environment Framework. Section 3 is dedicated for providing details on the Diagnostic Models topic. Section 4 has introduced the conception of Setup of the Detectors. Conclusion have been drawn in Section 5 for a more discussion for further future works.

2. The Situational Context for the Interpretation of Sensor Data within a Built Environment Framework

Direct measurements are both doable and recommended to be carried out when certain conditions are met. The development of a fault diagnosis plate that is able to identify and track the evolution of fatigue fractures in steel components has been accomplished. In several other investigations, the strain gages were attached to the reinforcing bars in the bridge decks directly. This is a somewhat uncommon scenario in which the key behavior, which in this instance is weariness at a particular area, is already known in advance. In following studies and the decision-making process for asset management, it is common practice to integrate other metrics that are indirect (Zhu et al., 2019).

Strong physical simple guidelines that are consistent with measurements are of tremendous use in asset management. These models provide several advantages. Once a structure has been completed, determining its reserve capacity needs more sophisticated ones that are capable of modeling elements such as degradation, actual support circumstances, and as-built geometry. While models used during the design stage frequently are not required to be very detailed, this is not the case once the structure has been constructed. As was said previously, upgraded models contribute to an improvement in the evaluations of various decision-making alternatives, such as repairing, retrofitting, improving, or replacing a system (Lanau et al., 2019).

A significant amount of investigation has been carried out on model-free methods, which are also referred to as output-only and data driven on occasion. These methods make use of data interpretation strategies, which are typically developed for applications such as spectral processing in power electronics and the field of object recognition. In spite of the fact that these techniques could be useful in some situations for the detection of damage, there is only a limited amount of evidence to support subsequent decision-making. In many cases, inspectors are able to see damage before model-free detection is performed, and there is often inadequate assistance for reducing the amount of false positives and rejections. It's possible that a low-cost choice for specific high-visibility structures, in which the identification of abnormalities in behavior is vital for political or social purposes, is the optimum setting for model-free approaches to be used in. Unfortunately, this isn't the situation for the most portion of the built environment, and as a result (Zhu et al., 2019).

There are various potential sources of uncertainty associated with the built environment, including measurements, prototype ratios, and model discrepancies. Uncertainties associated with modeling are sometimes several times larger than those associated with measurement. At the measurement sites, the vast majority of these sources are unable to be characterized using Gaussian distributions. There are many potential contributors, which results in substantial levels of complicated by the lack. One of the characteristics that are shared by all systems is the fact that the anticipated values at one site have a correlation with the values at some other locations. Correlations are depending on the level of systematic uncertainty, which is a quite subtle relationship. Because of these factors, the application of classical probabilistic principles to asset allocation inside built environment is much more challenging than it would otherwise be (Dong et al., 2019).

3. Diagnostic Models

Knowledge of actual behavior is essential to the practice of efficient asset management. Even in the absence of uncertainty, trying to establish the cause of an indirect variable in a complex system (for example, discovering a behaviors model that explains observations) is a task that is inherently fraught with ambiguity. While this has been known for some time in the medical field, the majority of diagnostic work done in engineering has focused on attempting to establish a single behavior model. These efforts often include the use of curve fitting methods that range in complexity. A method like this results in, at best, insufficient assistance for asset management, and, at worst, in projections of reserve capacity that are fraught with risk (Polenghi et al., 2022).

The population-based systems that can openly describe different explanations for results of measures are the ones that are most suited for usage when dealing with confusing circumstances. Regarding the field of structural engineering. Inspired by AI research on prototype diagnosis and compositional modeling, they put up a concept known as "many instances of behavior models" that would allow them to give more than one explanation for a single measurement. As a result of these first investigations, it was concluded that once a version had been identified as a contender version, there wasn't any competitor version that was superior to any other candidate model. Because of the quantity and quality of information that was available on uncertainties, it was not feasible to support a more nuanced differentiation than an uniformly distributed. Either a system is a prospective paradigm or it is not; there is no between ground (Wei et al., 2020).

This method has been expanded via the defining of thresholds as well as through an effort to evaluate simulation and measurements mistakes. The thresholds were determined by taking the total modeling error from three different sources and adding it to the measurement error. Such thresholds acted as evaluating its performance for a randomized search technique that was designed to reduce the residual difference that existed between the measurements and the model's predictions. The program then proceeded to produce additional model instances in order to investigate if alternative behavior models could offer predictions that resulted in residuals that were lower than the threshold levels after a threshold had been achieved. The pool of potential candidates consisted of all the models that might fulfil the prerequisite requirements (Sarkar et al., 2020).

4. Setup of the Detectors

Finding suitable sensor combinations is made easier with the use of several models that are falsely identified as candidate models. Settings with a higher likelihood of dramatically decreasing the CMS from the original model set, such as sensor configurations that invalidate a greater number of models, are preferred. Since 2002, this has served as a jumping-off point for a slew of research projects (Houchois et al., 2019).

In order to determine the variable that affect values at possible sensor sites, multiple-model predictions have been applied. There were two criteria for selecting sensors: the highest entropy sites for sensors and the number of non-identifiable models per sensor for both the laboratory building and the water supply network. Greedy algorithms were used in the approach, which did not change the sites of earlier sensors (Tunçer & Benita, 2022).

An example of a global query optimizer is shown by looking at a historic Swiss bridge, since the simplex method conducts local searches that may result in suboptimal sensor configurations. This example shows that the global search method outperformed the greedy approach while positioning sensors.

Sensors were placed in every available area, and then removed according to their usefulness. Identifiability was predicted at each stage. There were just a few sensors remaining at the end of the operation. A graph showing the predicted amount of possible models vs the measurement numbers was generated as a result of this method. There was a point that over developed where an ideal number of sensor nodes was determined because the correction typically led to a minimal number of proposed models when its impacts ceased overriding the growth in candidate model numbers when sensors are removed (Twardzik et al., 2019).

For a Singaporean project, a sensor arrangement for model deception was used to enhance wind forecasts near structures. Forward greedy algorithms were shown to perform better than backward algorithms in this application. Supervised approach placement strategy and the notion of joint entropy were coupled to ensure that reciprocal information produced by sensing was accounted for effectively in the process of choosing the best location for each sensor User preferences were considered as part of a multi-criteria approach (Rahla et al., 2021).

In a recent research study, sensor configurations were used to evaluate the performance of pressurized fluid distribution channels. High load entropy was paired with predicted identifiability to achieve high performance and minimal computation time. This was more proof that joint entropy was doing well (Pauwels et al., 2022).

The predicted usefulness of each sensor arrangement was the focus of a final investigation. Comparing current repair expenses with anticipated future repair costs is done using a multi-model prognosis. A curve describing predicted benefit in terms of surveillance cost was obtained using a backward greedy technique. An appropriate monitoring arrangement was discovered when this curve reached its maximum value (Tunçer & Benita, 2022).

5. Conclusion

Building asset management may be made more quantitative and performance-based via the proper interpretation of sensor data than is now the case. Population-based techniques are able to handle thorough description of same before and measurement errors, allowing for credible prognostication of eventualities that are frequently considered as technology ages. This is particularly useful in the case of aging infrastructure. Because previously undiscovered reserve capacity may now be exposed, considerable budget savings, such as the avoidance of replacement, are now feasible options. A higher proportion of aging buildings will be repaired, enhanced, and extended instead of subjected to the existing practice of replacement in the future, which will boost the commitment of sensing to the resilience of the built environment.

References

Ahmed, Z., Asghar, M. M., Malik, M. N., & Nawaz, K. (2020). Moving towards a sustainable environment: the dynamic linkage between natural resources, human capital, urbanization, economic growth, and ecological footprint in China. *Resources Policy*, *67*, 101677.

Aliu, J., & Aigbavboa, C. (2021). Key generic skills for employability of built environment graduates. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 1-19.

Çimen, Ö. (2021). Construction and built environment in circular economy: A comprehensive literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *305*, 127180.

Dong, B., Prakash, V., Feng, F., & O'Neill, Z. (2019). A review of smart building sensing system for better indoor environment control. *Energy and Buildings*, *199*, 29-46.

Goldin, C. D. (2016). Human capital.

Hilorme, T., Perevozova, I., Sakun, A., Reznik, O., & Khaustova, Y. (2020). Accounting model of human capital assessment within the information space of the enterprise. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*.

Houchois, N., Teitelbaum, E., Chen, K. W., Rucewicz, S., & Meggers, F. (2019). The SMART sensor: Fully characterizing radiant heat transfer in the built environment. Journal of Physics: Conference Series,

Lanau, M., Liu, G., Kral, U., Wiedenhofer, D., Keijzer, E., Yu, C., & Ehlert, C. (2019). Taking stock of built environment stock studies: Progress and prospects. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *53*(15), 8499-8515.

Li, J., Webster, D., Cai, J., & Muller, L. (2019). Innovation clusters revisited: On dimensions of agglomeration, institution, and built-environment. *Sustainability*, *11*(12), 3338.

Nathaniel, S. P., Nwulu, N., & Bekun, F. (2021). Natural resource, globalization, urbanization, human capital, and environmental degradation in Latin American and Caribbean countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*(5), 6207-6221.

Pauwels, P., Costin, A., & Rasmussen, M. H. (2022). Knowledge Graphs and Linked Data for the Built Environment. In *Industry 4.0 for the Built Environment* (pp. 157-183). Springer.

Polenghi, A., Roda, I., Macchi, M., Pozzetti, A., & Panetto, H. (2022). Knowledge reuse for ontology modelling in Maintenance and Industrial Asset Management. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, *27*, 100298.

Rahla, K. M., Mateus, R., & Bragança, L. (2021). Selection criteria for building materials and components in line with the circular economy principles in the built environment—A review of current trends. *Infrastructures*, *6*(4), 49.

Sarkar, D., Patel, H., & Dave, B. (2020). Development of integrated cloud-based Internet of Things (IoT) platform for asset management of elevated metro rail projects. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 1-10.

Tunçer, B., & Benita, F. (2022). Data-driven thinking for measuring the human experience in the built environment. *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, *20*(2), 316-333.

Twardzik, E., Duchowny, K., Gallagher, A., Alexander, N., Strasburg, D., Colabianchi, N., & Clarke, P. (2019). What features of the built environment matter most for mobility? Using wearable sensors to capture real-time outdoor environment demand on gait performance. *Gait & posture*, *68*, 437-442.

Wei, L., Du, H., Mahesar, Q.-a., Al Ammari, K., Magee, D. R., Clarke, B., Dimitrova, V., Gunn, D., Entwisle, D., & Reeves, H. (2020). A decision support system for urban infrastructure inter-asset management employing domain ontologies and qualitative uncertainty-based reasoning. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *158*, 113461.

Zhu, Z., Zhou, Y., Seto, K. C., Stokes, E. C., Deng, C., Pickett, S. T., & Taubenböck, H. (2019). Understanding an urbanizing planet: Strategic directions for remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *228*, 164-182.