

Inactivity: The Most Significant Threat to the General Population's Health in the 21st Century

Xu Shijin^{1,*}, Mohammed Safi², Anwar Ali³

¹Faculty of Public Health, Lincoln university, Malaysia

²Faculty of medicine, Shandong normal university, China

³College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

There is currently an overwhelming body of research suggesting that engaging in regular physical exercise has significant and many positive effects on one's health. These benefits include a lower chance of developing chronic diseases including heart problems, type 2 diabetes, and certain malignancies, as well as improved function and the ability to maintain that function as one gets older. As a part of the geriatric set, Researchers are particularly happy that there is strong developing evidence that exercising prevents mental impairment and is beneficial for brain health in addition to having significant advantages for the health of the rest of the body. It is found this to be really exciting news.

Keywords: Public health concerns, Physical inactivity, Population health, physical exercise and programs.

Received on 15-04-2024, accepted on 10-05-2024, published on 20-05-2024

1. Introduction

The data seems to support the conclusion that one of the most serious, if not the most important, public health concern (Igwaran & Okoh, 2019; Sultana et al., 2021; Virgili et al., 2022) of the 21st century is a lack of physical exercise (Furtado et al., 2021; Malm et al., 2019) and it is supported by the facts. This is not to downplay the importance of other health concerns; on the contrary, there is a need to place a much greater emphasis on developing healthy eating habits, participating in smoking cessation programs, and receiving preventive medical care that is both cutting-edge and grounded in evidence (Collado-Mateo et al., 2021). The primary worry is that many people working in public health and patient practice do not adequately respect or recognize the critical significance of regular physical exercise (Bonner et al., 2021), which is one of the reasons why this is my primary focus. An estimation of the fatality rate in a population that might have been prevented if a certain risk factor had not been present is referred to as the attributable fraction. It is believed that the most of you are already aware of this concept. That is, if everyone who smokes quits or everyone who is sedentary starts walking for at least half an hour at least five days a week. Estimates of the proportion of an outcome that may be attributed to a certain exposure rely not only on the intensity of the link between that exposure and the result, but also on the frequency with which that specific risk factor is found in the population. It is demonstrated that a lack of cardiorespiratory fitness is responsible for approximately 16 percent of all deaths that occur in both women and men in this population.

* Corresponding Author

This is a significantly higher percentage than any of the other risk factors, apart from hypertension in men. Other risk factors include: it would be for some thought to how frequently each one of these adverse outcomes is examined during a standard medical check-up and how frequently treatment is administered for each risk factor if it is found to be high. It doesn't have any statistics to back this up, but based on my experience,

I'd estimate that an average doctor is anywhere from 10 to 50 times more likely to evaluate things like blood pressure, and body mass index than they are to assess fitness. Because fitness was determined using a maximum activity test on a machine during the ACLS, this vulnerability is based on the critical assessment that was performed in a laboratory setting. It is understandable that such a fitness test could not be possible in many clinical visits; nevertheless, doctors and other clinicians might at the very least collect a history of the patient's physical activity and encourage them to schedule time for physical activity.

During the course of this research, 241 men are monitored with type 2 diabetes for an average of 21.5 years, which during time 119 of them passed away from cardiovascular disease (CVD). A large inverse gradient may be shown for deaths from cardiovascular disease across fitness levels within each BMI category. It is important to note that the normal-weight men who were unfit had a risk of dying that was more than twice as great as the risk that the obese men who were somewhat or very fit had. Tens of thousands of people diagnosed with type 2 diabetes present themselves for medical examinations each and every day. How many of these patients had their height and weight assessed, and their body mass index (BMI) has been computed; based on this information, they are then placed into one of three categories: stable weight, overweight, or obese? On the other hand, how many of these individuals get an evaluation of their cardiorespiratory fitness, or even have a detailed and reliable history of their physical activity obtained? My hunch is that the doctor will say something along the lines of "it is believed everyone must take up some movement, it will help with weight loss" if they bring up the subject of physical activity at all. The message that you are sending is incorrect. It goes without saying that engaging in regular physical exercise will assist with weight management; but, the patient's health will benefit greatly from the activity regardless of whether or not they experience weight loss (Aaseth et al., 2021; Paine, 2021).

It can probably tell that one is really enthusiastic about the necessity of regular exercise for both the health of people and the health of the general population, and it is believed that there is a need to devote a great deal more attention to the subject at hand. It would concentrate on the subject matter made me really happy. Over the course of the last several months, they have collaborated on efforts to assemble an exceptional team of researchers and doctors who will write special reports on a variety of topics pertaining to the relationship between physical exercise and health. Many of the most accomplished professionals in the field of exercise science from across the globe volunteered to assist with the provided exercises.

Here, the paper's sections are described. Section 2 is dedicated to give a discussion on the inactivity pandemic: global health action. Section 3 is dedicated to provide an explanation inactivity is a public health problem. Section 4 talks about the Improving on the current success made in terms of the exercise programs for public health. In Section 5, the paper is concluded.

2. Inactivity pandemic: global health action

Inactivity leads to a worse quality of life and is the fourth largest cause of mortality globally. Researchers provide a summary of worldwide efforts to combat this issue and show the way ahead in the fight against the epidemic of inactivity caused by a lack of physical exercise. Even though evidence of the positive effect of exercise on health has indeed been available, promotion to enhance the health of the public has lagged behind in regards to the evidence available and has only suddenly established an identifiable infrastructure. This infrastructure includes efforts in making plans, policy, governance and advocacy, workforce learning & support, as well as monitoring and surveillance (Ramirez Varela et al., 2021). Numerous, multifaceted, and convoluted factors contributed to the delayed start of the event. The formation of this infrastructure should continue, intersectoral methods are necessary to make progress, and advocacy is and will continue to be an important component.

A devices approach which focuses on communities and the dynamic interplay among the strongly correlated of physical inactivity, as opposed to solely a behavioural science strategy that focuses on people, is the way forward to boost the amount of physical activity that people engage in all over the world. This is true despite the fact there would be a need to build national capacity relating to the current foundations (Amini et al., 2021).

Theoretically speaking, prioritization of public health action is generally determined by three factors: the incidence and patterns of a health issue; the extent of the hazard related to exposure to that illness; and evidence for efficient prevention and management. A training or behavioural pattern that is obviously connected to a psychiatric condition, that is widespread, and that is either maintaining its current prevalence or increasing in its pervasiveness should be a top focus for health policies aimed at the prevention of disease and the promotion of healthy living. This strategy, however, may be defeated all too often by the inertia of precedent, the push from those who are an interest groups, the attention of the media, and other external influences (Goniewicz et al., 2022).

3. Inactivity is a public health problem

According to the data that is currently available, around 31 percent of the world's population does not reach the minimal standards for physical activity, and the high incidence of obesity was 17-18 percent in 2009. In spite of encouraging upward trends in leisure-time (voluntary) physical activity in several nations, prevalence rates of incidental, public transit, and vocational physical exercise are all on the decline. The threat that inactivity poses to world health is a significant factor that contributes to the severity of the problem (Moxley et al., 2022). Physical inactivity is responsible for 6–10 percent of all fatalities globally caused by non-communicable illnesses, and this number is significantly greater for some diseases (Onagbiye & Bester, 2022). Theoretically, between 5.3 and 5.7 million persons throughout the world died from non-communicable illnesses in 2007, and these deaths may have been averted if those who were sedentary had instead engaged in appropriate physical activity. The majority of these negative effects of lack of physical exercise are not mediated by the makeup of the body. In conclusion, there are a number of strategies that, when combined, have a level of effectiveness that is satisfactory for the purpose of elevating levels of physical activity across a broad range of age groups, socioeconomic groups, and nations. The problem should be correctly labelled as a pandemic due to the prevalence of the issue, its worldwide reach, and the effect it has on

people's health. This problem has far-reaching ramifications for people's health, the economy, the environment, and society.

In addition, the associated incidence of health conditions caused by inactivity places a significant burden on societies as well as health care systems. This burden includes negative effects on wellness quality of life and also direct and indirect financial expenditures. It is impossible to assess the scale of the economic effects of physical inactivity, and a more in-depth examination of the global economy is required.

4. Improving on the current success made in terms of the exercise programs for public health

Since the year 2000, there has been a discernible infrastructure for promoting physical activity in order to enhance the overall health of populations rather than the health of individual habits. Numerous and convoluted factors contributed to the delayed commencement of the event. To begin, there is a widespread but mistaken belief that the scientific foundation for the relationship between physical activity and disease has trailed behind those of other significant concerns, such as the use of cigarettes and food. Second, the subfield of study known as "physical activity and public health" has its origins in a number of different fields due to the grafting of the fields of exercise science and public health science together.

Many different disciplines have contributed to the rise in physical activity and public health, but there has been no centralized, which has resulted in a splintered approach that is difficult to understand (Rivera-Torres et al., 2019). As a result of this, early activity in training and the construction of infrastructure was often ad hoc rather than methodical. Last but not least, in attempts to combat obesity, physical activity is usually combined with diet. This is done despite the fact that there is evidence for several independent health effects of both physical activity and inactivity. Such self-interested methodologies by coupling or integrating with other health determinants may have earned for the physical activity legislative agenda for certain health outcomes; however, they inevitably restrict the field of view of action and hamper an approach that is comprehensive enough to discuss all aspects of the physical activity and inactivity. In addition, the formation of such partnerships for the sake of convenience should not be confused with the formation of partnerships for action that are based on equal footing (Gandhi et al., 2021).

Which tools and approaches are necessary to bring the importance of physical exercise and public health to the forefront of society? In order to put scientific knowledge into practice for the benefit of public health, imaginative thinking and the formation of collaborations for activity are required. These factors, together, will help make physical exercise a priority for public health. It is essential to establish global capability in the area of physical exercise. An assessment of the existing capacity, planning and target attempting to set, intergovernmental collaborative effort built on a solid base of current leader and advocacy, vocational rehab in education, research, and practice, and assessment of performance are all components of a consistent way to capacity building. The evolution and expansion of current assets should be prioritized in order to achieve global capacity development. The progress that has been made in each domain is broken down in depth to serve as a guide for the expansion of global capacities (Calcaterra et al., 2021). A graphical representation of guidelines on physical activity and exercises in 2020 published by WHO (WHO, 2020).



Figure 1: Physical exercises and programs for public health improvement. Source (WHO, 2020).

5. Conclusion

Inactivity is a global problem, one of the main causes of mortality throughout the globe, and unquestionably one of the most important four pillars of any plan to combat non-communicable diseases. Nevertheless, the importance of physical activity appears to be devalued, despite evidence of the protective advantages of physical exercise and the financial burden caused by the current amounts of fitness inactivity throughout the globe. There is an immediate need to increase capacity on a worldwide scale. Even while there has been some improvement in areas like as planning and decision making, leadership and activism, workforce training, and monitoring, there is still a significant amount of work that needs to be done in order to effectively address this worldwide problem. For the advancement of installed share, intergovernmental cooperation, an accurate understanding of what performs, nations with fewer economic resources, exhaustive surveillant to assess development and execution of practices and plan of action, and momentum in the growth of the organisation skilled workforce in aerobic exercise and public health are all required. To successfully push the concept of physical exercise as a public health concern, there is in dire need of new partners, an enlarged leadership base, financing at both the national and subnational levels, and increased infrastructure. In addition, a systems-based strategy is essential to handle the complicated relationships that exist between the numerous factors that either encourage or discourage the amount of physical activity that a community engages in. When people and groups have a set of complex systems and how those systems might affect physical activity, it will be possible to make adjustments to the infrastructure that will enable them the flexibility to be much more intellectually active and healthy. The network of people who do studies on physical activity, as well as private sector and civil society, are some of the groups who may benefit from the summary of information contained in this study, which can then be used to encourage actions for physical exercise. The publishing of the Series does not mark the end of our portion of the obligation, however. If the area of expertise is going to continue to develop and advance, it is very necessary to establish objectives and track success.

References

- Aaseth, J., Ellefsen, S., Alehagen, U., Sundfør, T. M., & Alexander, J. (2021). Diets and drugs for weight loss and health in obesity—An update. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 140, 111789.
- Amini, H., Habibi, S., Islamoglu, A. H., Isanejad, E., Uz, C., & Daniyari, H. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic-induced physical inactivity: the necessity of updating the Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018-2030. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 26(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12199-021-00955-z>
- Bonner, C., Trevena, L. J., Gaissmaier, W., Han, P. K., Okan, Y., Ozanne, E., Peters, E., Timmermans, D., & Zikmund-Fisher, B. J. (2021). Current best practice for presenting probabilities in patient decision aids: fundamental principles. *Medical Decision Making*, 41(7), 821-833.
- Calcaterra, V., Verduci, E., Vandoni, M., Rossi, V., Di Profio, E., Carnevale Pellino, V., Tranfaglia, V., Pascuzzi, M. C., Borsani, B., Bosetti, A., & Zuccotti, G. (2021). Telehealth: A Useful Tool for the Management of Nutrition and Exercise Programs in Pediatric Obesity in the COVID-19 Era. *Nutrients*, 13(11), 3689. <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/13/11/3689>
- Collado-Mateo, D., Lavín-Pérez, A. M., Peñacoba, C., Del Coso, J., Leyton-Román, M., Luque-Casado, A., Gasque, P., Fernández-del-Olmo, M. Á., & Amado-Alonso, D. (2021). Key factors associated with adherence to physical exercise in patients with chronic diseases and older adults: an umbrella review. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(4), 2023.
- Furtado, G. E., Letieri, R. V., Caldo-Silva, A., Sardão, V. A., Teixeira, A. M., de Barros, M. P., Vieira, R. P., & Bachi, A. L. (2021). Sustaining efficient immune functions with regular physical exercise in the COVID-19 era and beyond. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 51(5), e13485.
- Gandhi, S., Yeager, J., & Glaman, R. (2021). Implementation and evaluation of a pandemic simulation exercise among undergraduate public health and nursing students: A mixed-methods study. *Nurse Education Today*, 98, 104654. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104654>
- Goniewicz, K., Burkle, F. M., Hall, T. F., Goniewicz, M., & Khorram-Manesh, A. (2022). Global public health leadership: The vital element in managing global health crises. *Journal of global health*, 12, 03003-03003. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.03003>
- Igwaran, A., & Okoh, A. I. (2019). Human campylobacteriosis: A public health concern of global importance. *Heliyon*, 5(11).
- Malm, C., Jakobsson, J., & Isaksson, A. (2019). Physical activity and sports—real health benefits: a review with insight into the public health of Sweden. *Sports*, 7(5), 127.
- Moxley, E., Webber-Ritchey, K. J., & Hayman, L. L. (2022). Global impact of physical inactivity and implications for public health nursing [\[https://doi.org/10.1111/phn.12958\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/phn.12958). *Public Health Nursing*, 39(1), 180-188. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/phn.12958>
- Onagbiye, S. O., & Bester, P. (2022). Physical Inactivity as a Wicked Problem in Sub-Sahara Africa: Overview and Recommendations. *The Open Public Health Journal*, 15(1).
- Paine, E. A. (2021). “Fat broken arm syndrome”: Negotiating risk, stigma, and weight bias in LGBTQ healthcare. *Social science & medicine*, 270, 113609.
- Ramirez Varela, A., Sallis, R., Rowlands, A. V., & Sallis, J. F. (2021). Physical Inactivity and COVID-19: When Pandemics Collide. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 18(10), 1159-1160. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2021-0454>
- Rivera-Torres, S., Fahey, T. D., & Rivera, M. A. (2019). Adherence to exercise programs in older adults: informative report. *Gerontology and geriatric medicine*, 5, 2333721418823604.
- Sultana, A., Tasnim, S., Hossain, M. M., Bhattacharya, S., & Purohit, N. (2021). Digital screen time during the COVID-19 pandemic: a public health concern. *F1000Research*, 10, 81.
- Virgili, F., Nenna, R., Ben David, S., Mancino, E., Di Mattia, G., Matera, L., Petrarca, L., & Midulla, F. (2022). E-cigarettes and youth: an unresolved Public Health concern. *Italian journal of pediatrics*, 48(1), 97.
- WHO. (2020). *Physical activity*. Retrieved 2022 from <https://www.who.int/health-topics/physical-activity>